IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Misc. No. 64956-M of 2006 (O&M)

Yoginder Singh

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

..... RESPONDENT

Crl. Misc. No. 65856-M of 2006 (O&M)

Soraj alias Sonu

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

..... RESPONDENT

DATE OF DECISION : 07.12.2006

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jagat Singh Advocate,
for petitioner Yoginder Singh.

Mr. Raj Mohan Singh, Advocate,
for petitioner Soraj alias Sonu.

Mr. Partap Singh, Senior D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Pawan Gaur, Advocate,
for the complainant.

* * *

This order shall dispose of Crl. Misc. No. 64956-M of 2006 and 65856-M of 2006, filed by Yoginder Singh and Soraj alias Sonu, respectively, for the grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 525 dated 24.8.2006 under Sections 366, 368, 181, 193, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

I have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contend that it is a case of marriage, as daughter of the complainant, who is major, has performed marriage with petitioner Soraj alias Sonu on 24.6.2006 and their marriage was registered with the Registrar of Hindu Marriage, Dehradun on 10.8.2006. Counsel further contend that the married couple filed petition seeking protection in this Court, which was disposed of on 25.8.2006, with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Gurgaon to look into their grievance. Counsel for the petitioners submit that when parents of the wife tried to mishandle the married couple, they filed complaint in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dehradun. In view of these facts, counsel submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. All these facts have not been disputed by the State Counsel and counsel for the complainant.

Counsel for the petitioners further state that in terms of the interim orders dated October 19 and November 03, 2006, petitioners Yoginder Singh and Soraj alias Sonu have joined the investigation. The fact of joining of investigation by petitioner Soraj alias Sonu has not been disputed by the State counsel. However, regarding petitioner Yoginder Singh, it has been stated that he has not joined the investigation. Without going into this controversy, petitioner Yoginder Singh is ordered to join investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer in writing.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits, the interim bail, granted vide orders dated October 19 and November 03, 2006, is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 07, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**